

## The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SABRINA TAYLOR,  
Defendant.

| NO. CR21-193-RSM

## **ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Sabrina Taylor's interest in the following property:

A sum of money in the amount of \$608,975, representing the proceeds the Defendant obtained as a result of her commission of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate for the following reasons:

- 1     • The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, are  
2                 forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C.  
3                 § 2461(c);  
4     • In her plea agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum  
5                 of money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C.  
6                 § 2461, as it reflects proceeds she personally obtained from her commission  
7                 of the offense, Dkt. No. 33 ¶ 13;  
8     • In the plea agreement, the United States agreed to request that the Attorney  
9                 General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited  
10                 sum to the restitution that is ordered, Dkt. No. 33 ¶ 13;  
11     • The United States also agreed that any amount Defendant pays toward  
12                 restitution will be credited against this forfeited sum. Dkt. No. 33 ¶ 13, and  
13     • This sum of money is personal to Defendant, and therefore, pursuant to  
14                 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no  
15                 third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

16  
17         NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 18         1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and  
19                 her plea agreement, Defendant's interest in the above-identified sum of money is fully  
20                 and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 21         2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)–(B), this Order will become  
22                 final as to the Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence;  
23                 and it will be included in the judgment;
- 24         3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party  
25                 other than the United States;

1       4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money,  
2 in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to  
3 include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$608,975;

4       5. Pursuant to the plea agreement, the United States will request that the  
5 Attorney General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of the above-  
6 identified forfeited sum to the restitution that is ordered;

7       6. Pursuant to the plea agreement, any amount Defendant pays toward  
8 restitution will be credited against the forfeited sum; and,

9       7. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing  
10 this Order, as necessary.

11      IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13      DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023.

14  
15      

16      RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17      Presented by:

18      s/Jehiel I. Baer  
19      JEHIEL I. BAER  
20      Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
21      700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101  
22      Phone: (206) 553-2242  
Fax: (206) 553-6934  
23      Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov